

MicroPatent® Document Availability and Pricing

Before you submit your order	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Check all patent numbers, in all order parts.• Note: Exceptional Order patents are NOT selected by default!• Choose delivery options separately for Standard and Special Collections.
<div>Submit Order for the selected patents below.</div> <div>Your reference: <input type="text"/> (optional)</div>	

Special Collection Patents

JP-A and DE-B documents from 2004 forward are available as mixed-mode PDFs.
Some changes to your Acrobat Reader may be necessary.

Delivery options:

- ☒ **DE19653354** (C1), 6 pages
Verwendung von Oligosacchariden zur Verstärkung der Süßkraft und zur Geschmacksverbesserung
-
- ☒ **AT211361T** (B) [[Equivalent of DE19653354]], 4 pages
-
- ☒ **AU718640B2** [[Equivalent of DE19653354]], 28 pages
-

Patents NOT FOUND

The following patent documents were not found either in our Standard Collection or in our Special Collection.

AU5660398A [[Equivalent of DE19653354]]
CA2273277A1 [[Equivalent of DE19653354]]

[Return to PatentWeb top page](#)

[Return to MicroPatent home page](#)

info@micropat.com

(12) PATENT
(19) AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE

(11) Application No. AU 199856603 B2
(10) Patent No. 718640

(54) Title

The use of oligosaccharides to increase the sweetness and improve the taste of an acesulfame-K/aspartame mixture

(51)⁶ International Patent Classification(s)

A23L 001/00 A23L 001/236

(21) Application No: 199856603

(22) Application Date: 1997.12.08

(87) WIPO No: WO98/27832

(30) Priority Data

(31) Number	(32) Date	(33) Country
19653354	1996.12.20	DE
19732351	1997.07.28	DE

(43) Publication Date: 1998.07.17

(43) Publication Journal Date: 1998.09.10

(44) Accepted Journal Date: 2000.04.20

(71) Applicant(s)

Nutrinova Nutrition Specialties and Food Ingredients GmbH

(72) Inventor(s)

Martin Jager; Margit Dorr

(74) Agent/Attorney

WATERMARK PATENT and TRADEMARK ATTORNEYS, Locked Bag 5, HAWTHORN VIC 3122

OPI DATE 17/07/98 APPLN. ID 56603/98
AOJP DATE 10/09/98 PCT NUMBER PCT/EP97/06845



AU9856603

(51) Internationale Patentklassifikation 6: A23L 1/00, 1/23b SEARCH QUALITY ASSURANCE		A2	(11) Internationale Veröffentlichungsnummer: WO 98/27832
			(43) Internationales Veröffentlichungsdatum: 2. Juli 1998 (02.07.98)
(21) Internationales Aktenzeichen: PCT/EP97/06845		(81) Bestimmungsstaaten: AU, BG, BR, BY, CA, CN, CZ, HU, IL, JP, KR, MX, NO, NZ, PL, RU, SG, TR, UA, US, europäisches Patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).	
(22) Internationales Anmeldedatum: 8. Dezember 1997 (08.12.97)			
(30) Prioritätsdaten: 196 53 354.6 20. Dezember 1996 (20.12.96) DE 197 32 351.0 28. Juli 1997 (28.07.97) DE		Veröffentlicht Ohne internationalen Recherchenbericht und erneut zu veröffentlichen nach Erhalt des Berichts.	
(71) Anmelder (für alle Bestimmungsstaaten ausser US): NUTRI-NOVA NUTRITION SPECIALTIES & FOOD INGREDIENTS GMBH (DE/DE); Industriepark Höchst, D-65926 Frankfurt am Main (DE).			
(72) Erfinder; und (75) Erfinder/Anmelder (nur für US): JAGER, Martin (DE/DE); Hinter den Gärten 9, D-55234 Offenheim (DE). WIED- MANN, Margit (DE/DE); Riedmann 2, D-65936 Frankfurt am Main (DE); Am Bannzaun 11, D-67294 Gauersheim (DE). DOKR, Margit; D-67541 Hohen-Sulzen (DE). Kirchstrasse 3			
(54) Title: THE USE OF OLIGOSACCHARIDES TO INCREASE THE SWEETNESS AND IMPROVE THE TASTE OF AN ACESULFAME-K/ASPARTAME MIXTURE			
(54) Bezeichnung: VERWENDUNG VON OLIGOSACCHARIDEN ZUR VERSTÄRKUNG DER SÜSSKRAFT UND ZUR GESCHMACKSVERBESSERUNG EINER ACESULFAM-K/ASPARTAM-MISCHUNG			
(57) Abstract The present invention relates to the use of oligosaccharides to increase the sweetness and improve the taste of an acesulfame-k/aspartame mixture.			
(57) Zusammenfassung Die vorliegende Erfindung betrifft die Verwendung von Oligosacchariden zur Verstärkung der Süßkraft und zur Geschmacksverbesserung einer Acesulfam-K/Aspartam-Mischung.			

See
folios
3 & 4



Use of oligosaccharides to increase the sweetening power and enhance the taste of an acesulfame-K/aspartame mixture

5 The present invention relates to the use of oligosaccharides to increase the sweetening power and enhance the taste of an acesulfame-K/aspartame mixture.

Mixtures of acesulfame-K and aspartame having synergistic increase in sweetening power are described in the literature (DE-C 2 628 294).

10 US 5,425,961 describes chewing gum products which include fructooligosaccharides as bulking agents. In addition, the stabilizing action of these fructooligosaccharides on aspartame and, for example, a mixture
15 aspartame/acesulfame/fructooligosaccharides (Example 105) is described. No details are given on the sweetening power of mixtures of this type.

EP-A 646 326 describes a sweetener combination which includes an oligosaccharide in solid or pulverized form which is coated with a sweetener. The object underlying
20 this invention is to provide a solid sweetener mixture containing oligosaccharides in which the oligosaccharide particles do not stick together or aggregate. A further object mentioned is to provide a sweetener mixture with improved flow behavior and sweetening power. However, the
25 synergy implied by the examples and tables is only small.

DE-A 195 14 274 describes an effervescent tablet containing inulin. Inulin in this case is primarily intended to function as fiber, but can also cause a "fuller flavor" in the beverage. Example 2 of this
30 document relates to an effervescent tablet which, in addition to inulin, inter alia also contains acesulfame



and aspartame and which gives a soft drink when dissolved in water. The document gives no details of the sweetening power of mixtures of sweeteners and inulin.

5 Furthermore, there continues to be a great need for sweetener mixtures which have a taste and mouthfeel as similar as possible to a sucrose solution and which achieve this effect with the lowest possible concentrations of sweetener.

10 Surprisingly, it has now been found that mixtures of acesulfame-K and aspartame and an oligosaccharide show an increase in sweetening power which greatly exceeds in extent the expectations of those skilled in the art, coming extremely close to the taste and mouthfeel of sucrose.

15 The present invention therefore relates to the use of oligosaccharides to increase the sweetening power and enhance the taste of an acesulfame-K/aspartame mixture.

A mixture of acesulfame-K and aspartame in a ratio of 95:5 to 5:95, in particular 70:30 to 30:70, preferably
20 50:50, has proved to be useful.

Oligosaccharides within the context of the present invention are, in particular, water-soluble, generally, but not necessarily, non-metabolizable oligosaccharides which comprise at least two monosaccharide components.

25 The number of monosaccharide components which an oligosaccharide according to the claims may comprise is generally subject to no upper limit and is determined, in particular, by the water solubility usually required. Generally, oligosaccharides have 2 to 60 monosaccharide components.
30

Monosaccharides which the oligosaccharides according to



the claims may comprise are generally hexoses, which can be present as furanosides or pyranosides. Examples of monosaccharides are glucose, galactose and fructose. Preferred oligosaccharides are, in particular, inulins,
5 oligofructoses, galactooligosaccharides, isomalto-oligosaccharides, lactosucrose, maltose, glycosylsucrose, maltotetraose and trehalose.

The oligosaccharides according to the claims are known and are commercially available or may be prepared by
10 methods known to those skilled in the art.

Fructooligosaccharides are carbohydrates which belong to the fructan group. In the case of fructooligosaccharides, a distinction is made between inulin and oligofructose. Chemically, inulin is composed of polysaccharides and
15 oligosaccharides which virtually all have the chemical structure GF_n (G = glucose, F = fructose and n = the number of fructose units which are linked together as a chain). The degree of polymerization is 2 to 60 molecules. The linkages between the molecules are of a
20 particular type. They have the $\beta(2 \rightarrow 1)$ form, which means that the molecules are indigestible for all higher organisms. Inulin functions as an energy reserve in numerous fruits and plants. In Europe, inulin is prepared industrially from chicory plants. Naturally occurring
25 inulin molecules are extracted from the chicory root, purified and dried. Inulin contains oligofructose which is to an extent an inulin fraction having a low degree of polymerization (about 2 to 9). It is isolated from inulin by hydrolysis. Inulin and oligofructose are recognized as
30 food constituents in Europe. Galactooligosaccharides are likewise carbohydrates which are chemically a mixture of poly- and oligosaccharides. The degree of polymerization is between 1 and 7 molecules. Galactooligosaccharides are produced industrially from lactose by enzymatic
35 hydrolysis.



Isomaltooligosaccharides are produced from maltose-rich starch hydrolysates by enzymatic hydrolysis. Lactosucrose is produced from lactose, which is present in milk, using the enzyme fructofuranosidase and sucrose is produced from cane sugar. Maltose and trehalose are both disaccharides which consist of two molecules of glucose, but which differ from one another in the type of linkage between the two glucose components. Maltose is equal to sucrose with respect to digestibility, calorific value and cariogenicity. Glycosylsucrose is produced from a mixture of sucrose and starch hydrolysates by the enzyme transferase. It is equal in sweetness profile and calorific value to sucrose, but is markedly less sweet. Maltotetraose is a tetrasaccharide of four molecules of glucose.

The oligosaccharides can be used in the process according to the invention alone or in mixtures with one another.

The oligosaccharides can be added to the sweetener mixture in various concentrations which primarily depend on the respective application. A weight ratio of 10:1 to 10,000:1, in particular 500:1 to 5000:1, based on the sweetener mixture, is of practical importance.

In addition to one or more oligosaccharides, taste-modifying substances, such as neohesperidin DC (NHDC), thaumatin or rhamnose, can also be added to the sweetener mixture. Here also, the amount added can vary within broad limits and primarily depends on the application.

The oligosaccharides are admixed to the sweetener mixture by methods known per se, for example by mixing the components in suitable mixers or granulators, or else in fluidized-bed apparatuses. However, joint dissolution in water is also possible.



As the following examples and comparison examples show, the increase in sweetening power which can be achieved by the process according to the invention is surprisingly markedly greater than that which can be achieved using the individual sweeteners. Thus, to achieve a defined sweetness, according to the invention smaller amounts of sweetener are sufficient, in comparison with the prior art.

Numerous sensory tests and experimental values have shown that 300 mg/kg of acesulfame-K (ASK) give the same sweetness as a 4.9% strength aqueous sucrose solution. 300 mg/kg of aspartame (APM) give an aqueous solution the same sweetness as 4.6% sucrose. It is already known that a very marked increase in sweetening power occurs if ASK and APM are combined in equal parts (see DE-C 2 628 294). Thus, for example, the combination of 90 mg/kg of ASK with 90 mg/kg of APM is just as sweet as 300 mg/kg of ASK alone or as a 4.9% strength sucrose solution, although it would be assumed that, for example, 150 mg/kg of ASK and 150 mg/kg of APM should be just as sweet as 300 mg/kg of individual sweetener. The increase in sweetening power which is produced by such a combination of ASK and APM in equal parts is thus 40%. When the increase in sweetening power of an ASK/APM combination by oligosaccharides was determined, this previously known increase in sweetening power was taken into account by means of its already being incorporated in the experiments: since, as described above, it is known that 90 mg/kg of ASK and 90 mg/kg of APM have the same sweetness as a 4.9% strength sucrose solution, the measured sweetening power of the particular oligosaccharide was simply added by calculation. The result of this calculation is the theoretical sweetening power which the particular acesulfame-K/aspartame/oligosaccharide mixture ought to have. In order to establish the actual sweetening power,



the particular acesulfame-K/aspartame/oligosaccharide mixtures were tasted against corresponding suitable sucrose solutions and statistically evaluated. It was found in this case, surprisingly, that the actual
5 sweetening powers determined by sensory experiments are considerably higher than the theoretical sweetening powers determined by calculation.

Thus, lactosucrose in a 10% strength aqueous solution has the same sweetening power as a 3.7% strength aqueous
10 solution of sucrose. If the sweetening power of sucrose is given the value 1, a 10% strength aqueous solution of lactosucrose is 0.37 times as sweet as sucrose. In a 10% strength solution, inulin has the same sweetening power as a 1% strength aqueous solution of sucrose. If,
15 therefore, the sweetening power of sucrose is given the value 1, a 10% strength aqueous solution of inulin is 0.1 times as sweet as sucrose. The mixture of 90 mg/kg of acesulfame-K and 90 mg/kg of aspartame is just as sweet as a 4.9% strength sucrose solution, or the acesulfame-
20 K/aspartame mixture is 0.49 times as sweet as sucrose. If the two sweetening powers are added, that is 0.37 of lactosucrose + 0.49 of acesulfame-K/aspartame, this gives a theoretical sweetening power of 0.86 of the sweetening power of sucrose, or a sweetening power corresponding to
25 8.6% strength sucrose solution. However, in fact, a sweetening power corresponding to a 10.4% strength sucrose solution was determined, that is 1.04 times as sweet as sucrose. If the sweetening power of 0.86 determined by calculation is taken as 100%, this gives an
30 increase in sweetening power of 20.9% for the actual sweetening power. In the case of inulin, a theoretical sweetening power of $0.1 + 0.49 = 0.59$ times the sweetening power of sucrose is obtained, or a sweetening power corresponding to a 5.9% strength sucrose solution.
35 However, in fact, a sweetening power corresponding to an



8.2% strength sucrose solution was determined, that is 0.82 times as sweet as sucrose. This gives an increase in sweetening power of 39%, therefore.

5 It must be emphasized here once again that the known increase in sweetening power which is produced solely by the combination of ASK and APM has no influence here on the increase in sweetening power, since the known increase in sweetening power occurring in this case was taken into account by the corresponding reduction in the
10 amounts of the individual sweeteners.

If the combination acesulfame-K/lactosucrose alone, without the additional sweetener aspartame, is considered, the unpredictable increase in sweetness according to the invention becomes very particularly
15 marked.

The sweetness of 300 mg/kg of acesulfame-K corresponds to the sweetness of a 4.9% strength sucrose solution, that is 0.49 times as sweet as sucrose. If acesulfame-K is combined with a 10% strength lactosucrose solution, which
20 is 0.37 times as sweet as sucrose, the sweetness determined by calculation is 0.86 times as sweet as sucrose. However, in fact, a sweetness 0.90 times as sweet as sucrose was determined by sensory tests. Compared with the sweetness intensity of 0.86 determined
25 by calculation, this gives an increase in sweetening power of only 4.7%.

The combination of aspartame and lactosucrose alone also gives the same pattern. 300 mg/kg of APM are 0.46 times as sweet as sucrose. If this is combined with a 10%
30 strength lactosucrose solution, which is 0.37 times as sweet as sucrose, the theoretical sweetening power 0.83 times as sweet as sucrose is determined by calculation. In fact, sensory tests determined that the actual sweetening power of this mixture is 0.95 times as sweet



as sucrose. This gives an increase in sweetening power of 14.5%.

Both increases in sweetening power of the individual sweeteners with lactosucrose are markedly less than the
5 increase in sweetening power which is achieved by the combination of acesulfame-K and aspartame with lactosucrose.

In the case of inulin, the following pattern results:
acesulfame-K/inulin has a theoretical sweetening power of
10 $0.49 + 0.1 = 0.59$, but the sweetening power actually determined is 0.64. The increase in sweetening power is thus only 8.5%.

Aspartame/inulin has a theoretical sweetening power of
15 $0.46 + 0.1 = 0.56$, but the sweetening power actually determined is 0.65. The increase in sweetening power is thus only 16.1%.

In this case also, both increases in sweetening power of the individual sweeteners with inulin are markedly lower
20 than the increase in sweetening power which is achieved by the combination of acesulfame-K and aspartame.

In addition to this unexpected synergistic action, the oligosaccharides according to the claims exhibit still other advantageous effects.

Owing to their chemical structure, which cannot be
25 hydrolyzed by the human digestive enzymes, most of the oligosaccharides are not digested in the small intestine, but act as soluble fibers. Not until the large intestine are they fermented without residue by the beneficial microflora. This is principally carried out by the
30 endogenous bifidobacteria. This process stimulates the growth of the endogenous bifidobacteria and inhibits the growth of harmful bacteria, such as enterobacteriaceae or streptococci. A change of this type in the composition of the intestinal flora is considered to be benefi-



- cial to humans. Oligosaccharides having these properties are therefore termed "prebiotic", since they stimulate the development of the endogenous desirable bacteria in the digestive tract. In addition, this activates the
- 5 immune system and the synthesis of vitamins (eg B₁ and B₁₂) and improves the uptake of some minerals. The uptake of oligosaccharides of this type in a sufficient amount thus generally makes a positive contribution to the well-being and health of humans.
- 10 The consequence of this special metabolism is that these oligosaccharides supply only a very few calories to the body. In the large intestine, the microorganisms can convert the products into free fatty acids, some of which are absorbed. Owing to this metabolic process, the
- 15 calorific value of inulin at only 1 kcal/g and of oligofructose at only 1.5 kcal/g is markedly below that of fat, fructose, glucose, sugars and starch.

- The uptake of oligosaccharides of this type also causes typical fiber effects, since they increase the transit
- 20 rate of the intestinal contents and they increase the stool weight, decrease the pH in the intestine, improve the ratio of HDL/LDL cholesterol, decrease the triglycerol and fat values in the blood and prevent constipation.

- 25 Oligosaccharides having the above-described properties have no effect on blood glucose level, do not stimulate insulin secretion and do not affect the glucagon level. Therefore, they are suitable for diabetics.

- Since no fructose or glucose is released by the oral
- 30 flora during the metabolism of, for example, inulin, isomaltooligosaccharides or lactosucrose, these substances cause virtually no caries and no dental plaque.



Since fructo- and galactooligosaccharides, just as isomaltooligosaccharides and lactosucrose, give the product body in the amount added, since they are soluble fiber, the viscosity of the product is increased and thus
5 the mouthfeel is markedly and highly pleasantly improved, actually without intrusive fibers in the product as are known from traditionally fiber-enriched beverages ("bran effect").

Glycosylsucrose, owing to its special mode of
10 preparation, has the advantage of not being cariogenic, since the sucrose present therein cannot be fermented by the bacteria in the oral cavity. It thus has the same beneficial properties giving body in beverages as conventional saccharides, but without the hazard of
15 causing caries.

A further advantage of oligosaccharides according to the claims such as maltotetraose, maltose or trehalose is the improved technological properties, particularly with respect to foods other than beverages. In this case it
20 has been found that bakery products and confectionary, for example, which are greatly improved with respect to the technological properties can be produced. However, since these oligosaccharides are markedly less sweet than commercially conventional sugars, increasing the
25 sweetness using sweeteners is necessary. The sweeteners here also act as taste intensifiers/enhancers, ie the sweet taste of the mixture of sweeteners and these oligosaccharides becomes much more sugar-like than would be expected.

30 Maltose, used instead of some of the sugar, for example, in bakery products prevents starch retrogradation, which leads to staling of bakery products, very much better than conventional saccharides, but otherwise has the



properties of conventional saccharides (eg sucrose, fructose, glucose), such as the low water activity.

Trehalose likewise prevents retrogradation of the starch in bakery products. In addition, if trehalose is employed
5 as sugar substitute mixed with sweeteners, the bakery products are pleasant, aromatic and juicy. Jelly babies which were made with a portion of trehalose have a very fruity and aromatic taste. If hard candies are made from trehalose, these are very stable with respect to
10 atmospheric humidity and do not have a tendency toward recrystallization, as do conventional hard candies produced from sucrose and glucose syrup.

Maltotetraose likewise has the outstanding property of a humectant, for example in gum confectionary products
15 which remain soft and fresh for a very long time, but outstandingly prevents the recrystallization of the sucrose/glucose sirup.

Glycosylsucrose also gives gum confectionary products, for example, a very good consistency, and likewise
20 prevents the recrystallization of sucrose, for example, keeps gum confectionary products pleasantly soft and, in combination with sweeteners, has a very good sweetness profile. These advantages, particularly with regard to the taste, are increased because of the fact that
25 glycosylsucrose is not cariogenic, but otherwise acts as sucrose. The calorific value is roughly the same, but in contrast to "sugar-free" gum confectionary products sweetened with sugar alcohols, the products produced from glycosylsucrose are not laxative.

30 On the international market for beverages and milk products, there are numerous products in which one or more sweeteners are combined with other, sometimes sweet-



tasting, substances giving body. Substances of this type are, for example, sucrose, fructose, high fructose corn sirup, glucose sirup etc. A greater or lesser increase in sweetening power also occurs with these combinations of
5 sweeteners with sugars. The increase in sweetening power, and possibly the more pleasant mouthfeel which is attained by the use of sugars giving body and the thereby increased viscosity, are the decisive factors for the combination of sweeteners and sugars. However, use of
10 these sugars achieves no further advantage apart from said effects such as increase in sweetening power and improving the mouthfeel. Said substances are cariogenic, and therefore initiate caries if teeth are not cleaned immediately after consumption. Since these substances
15 consist of carbohydrates which are immediately utilized and absorbed by the human body at approximately 4 kcal/g, the calorific value/energy content of the product in which this combination is used is considerably increased.

Sugars, except for fructose, are not suitable for
20 consumption by diabetics, since they stimulate insulin secretion and increase the blood sugar level. Thus products in which sugars of this type are added in the amount required for increase in sweetening power are also no longer suitable for diabetics.

25 Combination of sweeteners with sugars, apart from the increase in sweetening power and improving the mouthfeel, does not create any health advantages, as is the case with combination of sweeteners with oligosaccharides. The advantages of combination of sweeteners with
30 oligosaccharides, to summarize once more individually; are: fiber-enrichment, pro-bifidus effect (prophylaxis of colon carcinoma), suitability for diabetics, low calorie content, pleasant mouthfeel, non-cariogenicity.



Practical experiments have also shown that the use of the oligosaccharides according to the claims together with a mixture of acesulfame-K and aspartame does not give any significant sensory differences from corresponding products sweetened with sugar even in products such as cultured milk beverages or fruit juice beverages, for example, which are highly sensitive with respect to sensory testing. This is particularly advantageous, since sugar is regarded as the standard of the sweet taste. It is therefore possible to produce products which are equivalent to the conventional products sweetened with sugar.

The use according to the invention of oligosaccharides to increase the sweetening power and enhance the taste can thus be employed in the production of foods of the most varied types. Examples are bakery products, such as cakes, confectionary products, such as jelly babies, hard candies and chocolate, but especially also beverages, such as lemonades, fruit juice beverages, fizzy drinks and fruit juices and liquid and semiliquid milk products, such as yogurt, drinking yogurt, cultured milk or buttermilk, and bread spreads and all types of icecream. In addition, oligosaccharides may also be used according to the invention in the production of petfood and farm animal feed and of medicament formulations, however.

Said foods, in addition to the sweetener mixture and oligosaccharides, include the base materials and auxiliaries which are known per se, such as flavorings and aroma substances, moisture regulators, preservatives, etc. in the amounts and concentrations which are known per se and customary.







Examples

Sweetening power of oligosaccharides and sweeteners used:

	Concentration in aqueous solution	Sweetening power in aqueous solution (sucrose = 1)
Inulin (powder)	10%	0.10
Oligofructose (sirup)	10%	0.45
Galactooligosaccharide (sirup)	10%	0.32
Lactosucrose (powder)	10%	0.37
Isomaltooligosaccharide (sirup)	10%	0.26
Glycosylsucrose (sirup)	10%	0.29
Maltotetraose (sirup)	10%	0.17
Maltose (powder)	10%	0.36
Trehalose (powder)	10%	0.32
Acesulfame-K (powder)	0.03%	0.49
Aspartame (powder)	0.03%	0.46
Acesulfame-K + aspartame	0.009% each	0.49
Acesulfame-K (powder) 1)	0.075%	0.65
Aspartame (powder) 1)	0.05%	0.66
NHDC	0.016%	0.64
NHDC+acesulfame-K+aspartame	0.001%+0.009%+0.009%	0.65

NHDC = neohesperidin DC

1) It is known that the sweetening power of sweeteners decreases with increasing sweetness intensity. For each sweetener, this sweetness intensity curve, or also termed sweetening power curve, is individual and different.
5 Therefore, it is known, that to achieve a sweetness intensity of 0.65 in comparison with sucrose, 750 mg/kg or 0.075% of acesulfame-K are needed, for example, but only 500 mg/kg or 0.05% of aspartame are required to achieve a similar sweetness intensity of 0.66 in
10 comparison with sucrose.

Example 1

A mixture of 99.82% by weight of lactosucrose in powder form and 0.09% by weight each of acesulfame-K and aspartame was produced and a 10.018% strength by weight
15 aqueous solution was prepared therefrom. The sweetness of this solution was determined in sensory tests.

The theoretical sweetening power in comparison with sucrose (sucrose = 1) in accordance with the above table is 0.86. The sweetening power actually determined is
20 1.04, however. The increase in sweetening power is therefore 20.9%.

As a comparison, the above experiment was repeated, but 0.3% by weight of acesulfame-K was used instead of the mixture of aspartame and acesulfame-K. The theoretical
25 sweetening power of this mixture is 0.86, but that actually determined is 0.90. The increase in sweetening power is therefore only 4.7%.

A second repetition of the experiment using 0.3% by weight of aspartame instead of the aspartame/acesulfame-K
30 mixture gave an actual sweetness of 0.95 instead of a theoretical sweetness of 0.83. The increase in sweetening power is therefore only 14.5%.

A repetition of Example 1 using further oligosaccharides



in the same weight ratios gave the results below:

2



	Oligo-saccharide	Theoretical sweetening power	Actual sweetening power	Increase in sweetening power
Example 2 Comparison: only ASK only APM	Glycosyl-sucrose (sirup)	0.78 0.78 0.75	0.93 0.83 0.86	19.2% 6.4% 14.7%
Example 3 Comparison: only ASK only APM	Maltose (powder)	0.85 0.85 0.82	1.14 0.98 1.0	34.1% 15.3% 22.0%
Example 4 Comparison: only ASK only APM	Trehalose (powder)	0.81 0.81 0.78	1.1 0.96 0.94	35.8% 18.5% 20.5%
Example 5 Comparison: only ASK only APM	Inulin (powder)	0.59 0.59 0.56	0.82 0.64 0.65	39.0% 8.5% 16.1%
Example 6 Comparison: only ASK only APM	Oligofru-ctose (sirup)	0.94 0.94 0.91	1.28 0.96 0.71	36.2% 2.1% -22.0%





	Oligo-saccharide	Theoretical sweetening power	Actual sweetening power	Increase in sweetening power
Example 7 Comparison: only ASK only APM	Galactooligo-saccharide (sirup)	0.81 0.81 0.78	0.95 0.72 0.82	17.3% -11.1% 5.1%
Example 8 (10 mg/kg NHDC+90 mg/kg ASK+90 mg/kg APM) Comparison: only NHDC (160 mg/kg) only ASK (750 mg/kg) only APM (500 mg/kg)	Inulin (powder)	0.75 0.74 0.75 0.76	1.01 0.74 0.77 0.91	34.7% 0.0% 2.7% 19.7%



	Oligo-saccharide	Theoretical sweetening power	Actual sweetening power	Increase in sweetening power
Example 9 (10 mg/kg NHDC+90 mg/kg ASK+90 mg/kg APM) Comparison: only NHDC (160 mg/kg) only ASK (750 mg/kg) only APM (500 mg/kg)	Oligo-fructose (sirup)	1.10 1.09 1.10 1.11	1.32 0.99 1.13 1.16	20.0% -10.0% 2.7% 4.5%

Abbreviations: ASK acesulfame-K

APM aspartame

NHDC neohesperidin DC

Notes on the Comparison Examples 6, 7 and 9:

The increase determined in sweetening power is negative here in the case of APM or ASK or NHDC. This means that the sweetening power of the individual sweetener/oligosaccharide mixture determined by sensory tests is less than the theoretical sweetening power which was determined by calculation. It is known that sweet-tasting substances can inhibit each other, so that the sweetening power produced by the mixture is less than one would assume ("=reduction in sweetening power"). It is therefore of all the more interest that with the sweetener mixture/oligosaccharide combination, the increase in sweetening power is very marked.

Application Example 1

15 An orange fruit drink of the following composition was produced:

10% by weight of orange juice concentrate

4.5% by weight of lactosucrose

0.0060% by weight of acesulfame-K

20 0.0060% by weight of aspartame

made up to 100% by weight with water.

As comparison example (standard) an orange fruit drink of the following composition was used:

10% by weight of orange juice concentrate

25 6% by weight of sucrose

made up to 100% by weight with water.

A sensory test with respect to deviation from the standard was carried out using the questions

Which sample is sweeter?

30 Which sample tastes better?

Which sample is more sugar-like?.

No statistically significant difference was observed.



Application Example 2

A drinking yogurt of the following composition was produced:

- 30% by weight of whey
- 5 10% by weight of multivitamin juice
- 5% by weight of trehalose
- 0.0065% by weight of acesulfame-K
- 0.0065% by weight of aspartame
- made up to 100% by weight with natural yogurt (fat
- 10 content: 1.5%).

As comparison example (standard), a drinking yogurt of the following composition was used:

- 30% by weight of whey
- 10% by weight of multivitamin juice
- 15 6.5% by weight of sucrose
- made up to 100% by weight with natural yogurt (fat
- content: 1.5%).

A sensory test as reported in Application Example 1 showed no statistically significant differences.

20 **Application Example 3**

A drinking yogurt of the following composition was produced:

- 30% by weight of whey
- 10% by weight of multivitamin juice
- 25 5% by weight of trehalose
- 0.0050% by weight of acesulfame-K
- 0.0050% by weight of aspartame
- made up to 100% by weight with natural yogurt (fat
- content: 1.5%).

- 30 As comparison example (standard) a drinking yogurt of the



following composition was used:

30% by weight of whey

10% by weight of multivitamin juice

6.0% by weight of sucrose

- 5 made up to 100% by weight with natural yogurt (fat content: 1.5%).

A sensory test as reported in Application Example 1 showed no statistically significant differences.

Application Example 4

- 10 An orange fruit drink of the following composition was produced:

10% by weight of orange juice concentrate

5.0% by weight of glycosylsucrose sirup

0.0065% by weight of acesulfame-K

- 15 0.0065% by weight of aspartame

made up to 100% with water.

As comparison example (standard) an orange fruit drink of the following composition was used:

10% by weight of orange juice concentrate

- 20 6% by weight of sucrose

made up to 100% by weight with water.

A sensory test as reported in Application Example 1 showed no statistically significant differences.

Application Example 5

- 25 An orange fruit drink of the following composition was produced:

10% by weight of orange juice concentrate

4.5% by weight of maltose

0.0050% by weight of acesulfame-K



0.0050% by weight of aspartame
made up to 100% by weight with water.

As comparison example (standard), an orange fruit drink
of the following composition was used:

- 5 10% by weight of orange juice concentrate
6% by weight of sucrose
made up to 100% by weight with water.

A sensory test as reported in Application Example 1 shows
no statistically significant differences.

10 **Application Example 6**

An orange fruit drink of the following composition was
produced:

- 10% by weight of orange juice concentrate
5.0% by weight of oligofructose sirup
15 0.0005% by weight of NHDC
0.0045% of acesulfame-K
0.0045% by weight of aspartame
made up to 100% by weight with water.

20 As comparison example (standard), an orange fruit drink
of the following composition was used:

- 10% by weight of orange juice concentrate
6.5% by weight of sucrose
made up to 100% by weight with water.

A sensory test as reported in Application Example 1
25 showed no statistically significant differences.



THE CLAIMS DEFINING THE INVENTION ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1. The use of water-soluble, indigestible oligosaccharides which consist of at least two monosaccharide components to increase the sweetening power and enhance the taste of an acesulfame-K/aspartame mixture.
2. The use as claimed in claim 1, wherein the oligosaccharides used are inulins, oligofructoses, galactooligosaccharides, isomalttooligosaccharides or lactosucrose.
3. The use as claimed in claims 1 or 2, wherein acesulfame-K and aspartame are present in a mixing ratio between 95:5 and 5:95, in particular between 70:30 and 30:70, preferably of 50:50.
4. The use as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 3, wherein the oligosaccharide and the acesulfame-K/aspartame mixture are used in a ratio of 10:1 to 10,000:1, in particular 500:1 to 5,000:1.
5. The use as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 4, wherein further taste-modifying substances are added to the mixture.



6. The use as claimed in claim 5, wherein, as taste-modifying substances, use is made of neohesperidin D, thaumatin or rhamnose.

7. The use of water soluble, indigestible oligosaccharides as substantially hereinbefore described in the Examples.

DATED this 22nd day of February, 2000

NUTRINOVA NUTRITION SPECIALTIES & FOOD INGREDIENTS GmbH

WATERMARK PATENT & TRADE MARK ATTORNEYS
290 BURWOOD ROAD, HAWTHORN, VICTORIA 3122
AUSTRALIA



P10157AU00 KJS/ALJ/SXH

